The recent meeting in Bangkok between the National Security Advisors of India and Pakistan have raised a few expectations. The last few years, the bilateral relations have remained indifferent, with neither of the two countries taking any serious initiative towards a rapprochement. Will 2018 see an improvement?

What is the current problematique in India-Pakistan relations? Why is the relationship between the two countries not improving? The contemporary history of the bilateral relationship between the two countries has been a series of conflicts and tensions, with few attempts towards a peace process in between. Where is the problem? Will it get addressed in 2018?

1. The Status Quo is a Slow Burn but does not hurt the State

Perhaps for the State in India and Pakistan, the current round of tensions do not hurt much. While a peace process failed to take off in the recent years, despite occasional tensions along the border, the relationship between the two countries did not escalate to any dangerous point. Unlike during three previous occasions - Kargil War, 2001-02 border standoff and 2008 Mumbai attacks, the relationship between the two countries did not escalate dangerously.

Of course, there were a series of tensions across the LoC in J&K during the last few years. There were serious firings along the LoC, and a heightened rhetoric at the political levels. The media, especially those based in the capitals, but based far away from the military action along the LoC, waged a bilateral war through their experts. The TV anchors fired more jingoistic missiles than the two militaries, creating a frenzy.

Besides the firing across the LoC, there were few militant attacks inside Kashmir Valley, and outside for example in Pathankot. If India issues a series of threats over these attacks, Islamabad used the capture of an “Indian spy” allegedly from Balochistan, as a proof of India sponsoring “terrorism” in Pakistan. Whether rest of the world believes this narrative or not, today most within Pakistan are convinced that India is sponsoring terrorism in Pakistan through Afghan intelligence agencies.

Despite the above, the State in India and Pakistan did not escalate the situation further. The situation
has been restricted only to the LoC; it did not escalate to other parts of the bilateral border between the two countries across Punjab. For both countries, the existing situation is a Slow Burn, which does not hurt the respective Establishment.

1. **Lack of formal contacts does not hurt either**

If the existing level of military engagement across the LoC does not hurt them, the lack of formal relationship does not hurt the State either. The bilateral relationship between the two countries has been restricted to minimal in the last two decades. The informal and illegal trade between the two countries has always been huge and more than the formal trade. The bilateral contacts had come to a trickle long before; the air connections became twice a week and that too declined further.

There is no big ticket item – trade or culture, between the two countries that gets really affected with an Indo-Pak Slow Burn. Imagine a situation between Germany and France today, in which the borders are being closed for a week. From tourism to trade, students to businessmen, local communities along the border to political communities around the capitals – will go on a large scale rampage. The reason is simple – the contacts between the two countries are so intertwined that any disruption would create a huge cost. Unfortunately, in the Indo-Pak context, the disruption would not matter, as both countries have been steadily shrinking their contacts.

1. **External Engagements: China and the US**

If the bilateral engagements or the lack of it between India and Pakistan does not hurt the two countries, their engagement with extra-regional powers encourages them further. Consider the following.

During the last few years, Pakistan’s relationship with China has expanded primarily over China Pakistan Economic Corridor. A section within Pakistan considers the CPEC as an El Dorado and a panacea to all their economic and energy ills. This section also believes that Pakistan occupies a geo-strategic position, which would see through Pakistan economically and strategically. The Pakistanis have made so much of an intellectual investment over Gwadar and expect that the Chinese would pursue their One Belt One Road (OBOR) through Pakistan.

On the other hand, New Delhi has made a substantial investment in improving Indo-US relations. While Obama played a low profile, statements from Trump administration has catapulted India into a different league. One could observe a trend cutting across Obama and Trump administrations over the last decade, since the Indo-US nuclear deal, NSG waiver and the debate over India’s role in the Indo-Pacific and the Quad – all these have made New Delhi to look elsewhere at the cost of any substantial political investment in the region.

1. **Weak Regional Architecture**

To get back to the France-German example, would the EU have allowed a deterioration of relations
between the two countries? In the South Asian context, the SAARC is unable to play any role in bringing India and Pakistan back to the region. In fact, it is the opposite; the Indo-Pak differences are weakening the regional association.

If the SAARC as a regional organization is ineffective, is it because, it is designed to be so. None of the other countries in the SAARC would like to exert any pressure in bringing the two countries back into the regional fold. They neither have the political clout, nor the economic leverage.

1. Differences within J&K

The only region that could bring India and Pakistan closer and to the negotiation table would be J&K. Unfortunately, J&K push both countries apart due to differences within.

In J&K, there are stark differences between the three sub-regions in India – Jammu, Kashmir and Ladakh. While the first two have contrasting positions vis-à-vis India and Pakistan, the third one is indifferent. The political affiliation and ideologies also differ between Jammu region and Kashmir valley. Similarly, across the LoC, the two Kashmiri entities under Pakistan’s control have contrasting positions vis-à-vis India-Pakistan relations. While Muzafarabad continues to parrot Islamabad’s position, Gilgit has its own orientation, especially with the CPEC projects.

The only positive happening, although limited has been the cross-LoC linkages. Though started with a lot of expectations, cross-LoC trade and bus service, instead of expanding further, have become a bureaucratic playground.

Will the relations improve in 2018?

This year will be an election one for Pakistan. The political parties are likely to be focused on winning the elections, than improving bilateral relations. Three leading political parties – PPP, PML-N and the PTI have their own ghosts within to handle, than to devise a larger external agenda.

As a result, both the Parliament and the ruling government has abrogated their foreign policy making powers to the military. Though historically, the Deep State had its own influence, the present Parliament and the PML-N government should have been the weakest during the last three decades.

The question then boils to Pakistan’s military – is the Establishment interested in improving Indo-Pak relations?

On the Indian side, Narendra Modi did make a few attempts to build a new narrative. His surprise visit to Nawaz Sharif’s residence for example, was a bold move. But, he could not sustain a roadmap towards Pakistan; perhaps, there was no response from Sharif. Or, perhaps, the differences within his party and the Parivar have taken the process away from a rapprochement.

The civil society also remains muted. There has been no vociferous voice forcing the two countries to
come together. Nor is there a “big ticket item” that would bring the two countries together.

So, is the immediate future bleak for an Indo-Pak rapprochement? Perhaps it is. Unless, something like a bus trip that Vajpayee took in 1999 happens. Until then, perhaps, we should look for some low hanging fruits. Cross-LoC interactions is certainly one.